

REMARKS

The examiner has made a requirement for election of species among FIGS. 1, 4 and 5 respectively.

FIGS. 2 and 3, FIG. 4 and FIG. 5 illustrate respective fuel injection control arrangements. FIG. 1 is a general illustration showing how the fuel injection control arrangement is connected to the other components of a fuel injection system and is not specific to any one of the three fuel injection control arrangements. Applicant believes that the examiner intended to refer to FIGS. 2 and 3 because FIG. 1, like FIGS. 2 and 3, illustrates the inlet and outlet openings at opposite ends of the arrangement 4 and on this basis applicant elects FIG. 1.

If one interprets FIG. 1 as a general illustration, as discussed above, all claims are readable on the elected species. If, on the other hand, one interprets FIG. 1 erroneously as being restricted to the fuel control arrangement shown in FIGS. 2 and 3, then claims 9-11 and 13-16 are readable on the elected species.

Respectfully submitted,



John Smith-Hill
Reg. No. 27,730

SMITH-HILL & BEDELL, P.C.
16100 N.W. Cornell Road, Suite 220
Beaverton, Oregon 97006

Tel. (503) 574-3100
Fax (503) 574-3197
Docket: AWEK 3359